



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,542	12/27/2001	Kyung-Ja Han	2669-0117P	9476
2292 7:	590 06/24/2004	EXA		MINER
	VART KOLASCH & B	ZEMAN, ROBERT A		
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	10/026,542	HAN, KYUNG-JA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert A. Zeman	1645
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
P riod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 A</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under A 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4-28-2004 has been entered.

The amendment filed on 1-29-2004 has been entered. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 1 and 2 are pending and currently under examination.

Claim Rejections Withdrawn

The new matter rejection of claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, based on the recitation of the phrase "staining red blood cells isolated as the sample" is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto.

Claim Rejections Maintained and New Grounds of Rejection 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1645

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps is maintained for reasons of record. See MPEP § 2172.01. The stated goal of the amended claim is to diagnose hemolytic anemia. The amendment to said claim is insufficient to overcome the rejection. There is no correlation between the recited steps of staining red blood cells with anti-hemoglobin antibody to identify the quantity of fragmented red blood cells and indented red blood cells and the stated goal of the claimed method. How does the ratio of fragmented and indented red blood cells correlate to a diagnosis of hemolytic anemia?

Claim 1 is rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the phrase "wherein said diagnostic method of hemolytic anemia shows stained red blood cells of more than 1%". It is unclear what is meant by said phrase. Is "1%" considered the background level of the recited method or the threshold for the diagnosis of hemolytic anemia? As written it is impossible to determine the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite, "peripheral blood sample in **hypotonic** solution with PE conjugated anti-hemoglobin (anti-Hb) antibody". This phrase does not

Art Unit: 1645

appear in the specification, or original claims as filed. Applicant does not point out specific basis for this limitation in the application, and none is apparent. The specification is recites the use of NaCl in concentrations from 0.2% to 2% wherein only the concentration of 0.6% is deemed to be useful (see page 4, lines 20-22). Therefore this limitation is new matter.

Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite, "wherein said diagnostic method of hemolytic anemia shows stained red blood cells of more than 1%". This phrase does not appear in the specification, or original claims as filed. Applicant does not point out specific basis for this limitation in the application, and none is apparent. The specification recites a correlation of RBC staining with MAHA, malaria, spherocytosis and postsplenectomy patients (see Table 1 and pages 6-9). Therefore this limitation is new matter.

Applicant has amended claim 2 to recite, "2ml". This phrase does not appear in the specification, or original claims as filed. Applicant does not point out specific basis for this limitation in the application, and none is apparent. The specification recites the use of samples with the volume of 2μ not 2 ml. Therefore this limitation is new matter.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 1645

The instant invention is drawn to methods of diagnosis hemolytic anemia which comprise detecting the number of damaged red blood cells by treating a peripheral blood sample with a PE conjugated anti-hemoglobin antibody; diluting said sample in saline and analyzing said sample by flow cytometry. The diagnosis of hemolytic anemia is made when more than 1% of the red blood cells in the sample are stained. Based on the instant disclosure the claimed method would not allow one of skill in the art to determine if a given patient suffers from hemolytic anemia. The specification discloses that the use of the claimed method resulted in the staining of 2.95% \pm a standard deviation of 2.95 of the red blood cells from samples obtained from patients with microangiopathic hemolytic anemia (MAHA) compared to 0.55 ± 0.23 % in samples from normal patients (control). There is no statistical difference between the results obtained from the MAHA samples and the normal samples. Moreover, there is no statistical significance between the results obtained from the MAHA samples and samples from malaria patients, postsplenectomy patients and patients suffering from spherocytosis. Consequently, based in the specification one would not be able to determine whether a given patient was suffering from hemolytic anemia by using the claimed method. Therefore, the instant invention is not enabled.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Zeman June 22, 2004 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600